rayny ER

I₂-Catalyzed Regioselective Oxo- and Hydroxy-acyloxylation of Alkenes and Enol Ethers: A Facile Access to α -Acyloxyketones, Esters, and Diol Derivatives

Rambabu N. Reddi, Pragati K. Prasad, and Arumugam Sudalai*

Chemical Engineering and Process Development Division, National Chemical [La](#page-3-0)boratory, Pashan Road, Pune, 411008, India

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-3-0)STRACT: I₂-catalyzed oxo-acyloxylation of alkenes and enol ethers with carboxylic acids providing for the high yield synthesis of α -acyloxyketones and esters is described. This unprecedented regioselective oxidative process employs TBHP and Et_3N in stoichiometric amounts under metal-free conditions in DMSO as solvent. Additionally, I_2 -catalysis allows the direct hydroxy-acyloxylation of alkenes with the sequential addition of $BH₃$ ·SMe₂ leading to monoprotected diol derivatives in excellent yields.

 α -Acyloxyketones and esters are significant building blocks present in a variety of biologically interesting natural products, pharmaceuticals, and synthetic intermediates of broad utility.¹ In particular, mandelic acid derivatives have shown antioxidant,^{2a} urin[a](#page-3-0)ry antiseptic, $2b$ anti-HIV, $2c$ antitumor, $2d$ antifungal, $2e$ and antithrombic effects.^{2f} Generally, these α -functionalized carbon[yl](#page-3-0) derivatives are pr[ep](#page-3-0)ared eith[er](#page-3-0) by the su[bst](#page-3-0)itution of α -halo carbonyl compoun[ds](#page-3-0)^{3a}/insertion of α -diazoketones^{3b} with alkaline carboxylates or the direct oxidative coupling of ketones with toxic heavy me[tal](#page-3-0) oxidants [e.g., Pb(OAc)₄, T[l\(O](#page-3-0)Ac)₃, $Mn(OAc)_{3}$, etc.]⁴ and Ru-catalyzed addition of carboxylic acids onto propargyl alcohols.⁵ Further, α -acyloxylation of carbonyl compounds is [re](#page-3-0)ported using N-methyl-O-benzoylhydroxylamine 6 and benzoyl p[er](#page-3-0)oxide⁷ as a carboxylic acid source. However, in the above reports, prefunctionalization of ketones/ carbo[xy](#page-3-0)lic acids is required pr[io](#page-3-0)r to the α -acyloxylation step. Recently, direct oxidative coupling of ketones with carboxylic acids using a hypervalent iodine catalyst in the presence of an excess amount of BF_3 ·OEt₂ in wet AcOH, mCPBA⁸ or peracetic acid⁹ as a co-oxidant has been reported to give α -acyloxyketones. Both intra- and intermolecular TBAI catalyz[e](#page-3-0)d oxidative cou[p](#page-3-0)ling of carbonyl compounds with carboxylic acids/benzylic alcohols using either H_2O_2 or TBHP as a co-oxidant have been reported.^{10,11} However, these protocols suffer from certain disadvantages such as use of a large excess of ketone motifs and co-oxida[nts, p](#page-3-0)oor regioselectivity, and low yields. Moreover, the aforementioned methods are not suitable for α -acyloxylation of esters. Generally, α -acyloxy esters are prepared by the oxidation of alkyl trimethylsilylketene acetals with $Pb(IV)$ carboxylates.^{12a} In particular, mandelic acid derivatives are prepared by a metalcatalyzed intramolecular Cannizzaro reaction of α -ketoalde[hy](#page-3-0)des12b or Friedel−Crafts reaction of aromatic compounds with glyoxylates.12c

[The](#page-3-0) dihydroxylation of alkenes has been elegantly demonstrated wit[h va](#page-3-0)rious transition metal catalysts¹³ which are costly

and toxic that led to the emergence of metal-free dihydroxylation of alkenes.¹⁴ Again, the selective protection of one of the hydroxy groups in 1,2 diol is challenging and has been achieved using \exp expensive [re](#page-3-0)agents.¹⁵ Recently, an "Bu₄NI-catalyzed direct and useful method for the synthesis of monoprotected diol from alkenes with TBH[P a](#page-3-0)s co-oxidant has been reported, although it is limited to styrenic substrates only.¹⁶

In recent times, I_2 catalysis, in combination with either aq. $H₂O₂$ or tert-butyl hydroperoxide a[s w](#page-3-0)ater-soluble co-oxidants, has been increasingly explored due to the environmentally benign and inexpensive oxidation reagents in place of rare or toxic heavy metal oxidants.¹⁷

To the best of our knowledge, use of catalytic electrophilic iodine in combination w[ith](#page-3-0) stoichiometric co-oxidants from alkenes is not known. In 1998, Komatsu et al. reported iodine catalyzed aziridination of olefins using chloramine-T as the cooxidant.¹⁸ Herein, we report for the first time, a catalytic modification of the Woodward−Prevost oxidation for C−O bond f[orm](#page-3-0)ation using $I_2/TBHP$ catalyzed oxo-acyloxylation of alkenes¹⁹ and enol ethers with carboxylic acids in DMSO as solvent and Et₃N as base, giving α -acyloxyketones and esters (2a−z[\) in](#page-3-0) high yields and excellent regioselectivity (99%). In addition, one-pot "hydroxy-acyloxylation" has been described by sequential addition of $BH_3 \cdot SMe_2$ in the reaction mixture that produces monoprotected diol derivatives (3a−n) in excellent yields (Scheme 1).

Initially, when styrene (1 mmol) was treated with a mixture containing benz[oi](#page-1-0)c acid (1 mmol), NBS (1 mmol), and $Et₃N$ (1.2 mmol) at 25 °C in DMSO, the corresponding α -benzyloxyketone 2a was obtained in 89% isolated yield with excellent regioselectivity (>99%) (Table 1). When a stoichiometric amount of I_2 was used as a halogen source, 2a (90% yield) was indeed

Received: September 17, 2014 Published: October 29, 2014

Table 1. I₂-Catalyzed Oxo-acyloxylation of Styrene with Carboxylic Acids: Optimization Studies^a

1a		halogen source oxidant (1 equiv) R-CO ₂ H (1 equiv) base (1.2 equiv) DMSO, 12 h, 25 °C		R 2 R = CH ₃ , aryl	
1	NBS ^c		Et ₃ N	Ph(2a)	89
2	I_2^c		Et ₃ N	Ph	90
3	I ₂	50% H ₂ O ₂	Et ₃ N	Ph	13
$\overline{4}$	I ₂	NaIO ₄	Et ₃ N	Ph	15
5	I_{2}	Oxone	Et ₃ N	Ph	8
6	NaI	TBHP	Et ₃ N	Ph	trace
7	$n_{\text{Bu}_4\text{NI}}$	TBHP	Et ₃ N	Ph	11
8	I ₂	TBHP	Et ₃ N	Ph	88 $(53)^d$ $(89)^e$
9	I ₂	TBHP	NaH	Ph	39
10	I_{2}	TBHP	KO ^t Bu	Ph	72
11	I,	TBHP	DBU	Ph	67
12	I ₂	TBHP	K_2CO_3	Ph	47
13	I ₂	TBHP	Et ₃ N	CH ₃ (4a)	64
14	I ₂	TBHP	Et ₃ N	$4-NO_2-Ph$ (4b)	72
15	I,	TBHP	Et ₃ N	4-Cl-Ph $(4c)$	76
16	I ₂	TBHP	Et ₃ N	3-pyridyl (4d)	74
17	I ₂	TBHP	Et ₃ N	1-propenyl (4e)	79

a Reaction conditions: styrene (1 mmol), carboxylic acid (1 mmol), halogen source (10 mol %), base (1.2 mmol), TBHP (5−6 M in decane) (1 mmol) ; in 8 mL of DMSO, 25 °C, 12 h. b Isolated yield after column chromatographic purification. c_1 equiv of halogen source was used. d_5 mol % of I_2 was used. e^{20} mol % of I_2 was used.

obtained with perfect regioselectivity. Encouraged by the result, it was of interest to develop a catalytic version of this useful oxoacyloxylation process.

Thus, a series of experiments were conducted employing I_2 in catalytic amounts (10 mol %) along with other stoichiometric oxidants such as aq. H_2O_2 , NaI O_4 , Oxone, or TBHP, which gave 2a in 13%, 15%, 8%, and 88% yields, respectively. With 5 mol % of I_2 , a lowered yield of 2a (53%) was however observed. Further modification in the iodine source, base, or solvent system (DMSO in combination with other solvents) did not show any significant improvement in the product yield. Other carboxylic acids (acetic, p-nitrobenzoic, p-chlorobenzoic, nicotinic, and crotonic acids) could be employed giving the corresponding oxoacyloxylation products 4a, 4b, 4c, 4d, and 4e in 64%, 72%, 76%, 74%, and 79% yields, respectively (Table 1).

The scope of the study was extended to substituted aromatics and alkenes, the results of which are subsequently displayed in Table 2. Several olefins with varied functional groups were found

Table 2. I₂-catalyzed Oxo- and Hydroxy-benzoyloxylation of

Alkenes with Benzoic Acid: Substrate Scope^a

		product yields $(\%)^b$	
no.	alkenes $(1a-n)$	$2a - n$	$3a-q^c$
1	styrene (1a)	88	86
2	4 -CH ₃ -styrene (1b)	85	84
3	4-Br-styrene $(1c)$	88	86
$\overline{4}$	4 -CN-styrene $(1d)$	82	79
5	4 -OAc-styrene $(1e)$	84	83
6	$3,4$ - (OMe) , styrene $(1f)$	83	81
7	PMBO-CH-CH=CH ₂ $(1g)$	83	82^d
8	allyl acetate (1h)	91	89
9	1-hexene (i)	88	88
10	1-octene (i)	92	90
11	1-decene $(1k)$	89	87
12	1-tridecene (11)	86	84
13	cyclohexene $(1m)$	82	83 $(3:1)^e$
14	indene $(1n)$	76	72 $(3:1)^e$
15	stilbene (10)	78^f	
16	Ph-CH=CH-CH ₃ $(1p)$	81^f	
17	Ph -CH=CH-CH ₂ -OTBS $(1q)$	84^f	

 a^a See footnote a under Table 1. b^b Isolated yields after column chromatographic purification. ϵ_{In} situ addition of anhydrous Na_2SO_4 and $BH₃·SMe₂$ (0.5 equiv) to conditions in footnote *a* in Table 1. d Diol was obtained after excess of BH₃·SMe₂ (2 equiv) was added. Anti/syn ratio. f_4 -Nitrobenzoic acid was used instead of benzoic acid.

compatible in the reaction. Electron-neutral (4-CH_3) , electrondeficient (4-CN), and electron-rich $[3,4-(OMe)_2]$ groups on the aromatic nucleus were compatible and provided the corresponding products in excellent yields (82−85%, 2b, 2d, and 2f).

Similarly, aliphatic olefins were found compatible under the optimal conditions and provided (2g-n) in good yields (82− 92%). Moreover, disubstituted alkenes (1m−1q) underwent this oxo-acyloxylation smoothly providing the corresponding α acyloxy ketones (2m−2q) in high yields with excellent regioselectivity (>99%, i.e. ketone group at the benzylic position).

We envisioned that addition of $BH₃$ ·SMe₂ to the reaction mixture would enable us to obtain the corresponding diol derivatives 3a−q (Table 2). To our delight, we indeed found that several styrenes and aliphatic alkenes underwent this "oxoacyloxylation-reduction" process smoothly affording diol derivatives 3a−q in 72−90% yields and excellent chemoselectivity (99%). Notably, use of excess of $BH_3 \cdot SMe_2$ (2 equiv) to the reaction mixture afforded the corresponding diol in 82% yield (entry 7). Remarkably, internal alkenes gave the desired products in good diastereomeric ratio (3:1) with high yields (entry 13 and 14).Further, intramolecular version of hydroxy-acyloxylation was demonstrated in the macrolactonization of undec-10-enoic acid (1r), which gave 12 membered hydroxy lactone 3r in 82% yield $(Scheme 2).$

Table 3 summarizes the application of the optimized reaction condition[s](#page-2-0) t[o](#page-3-0) a range of enol ethers in an effort to expand the scope of this oxo-acyloxylation reaction. Enol ethers with psubstituted electron-rich or -poor groups and furan substitutions proved to be good substrates for this transformation, affording

Scheme 2. I₂-Catalyzed Hydroxy-lactonization of Undec-10enoic Acid

Table 3. I₂-Catalyzed Oxo-benzoyloxylation of Enol Ethers with Benzoic Acid: Substrate Scope^a

the corresponding mandelic and lactic acid deri[va](#page-1-0)tives 2s−v in high isolated yields (74−82%).

Aliphatic enol ethers (1w−y) also gave the desired products 2w−y in high yields. In addition, when cyclic enol ether 1z was used, the desired product 2z was obtained in 84% yield.

To gain some insight into the mechanism of the reaction, the following experiments were performed: (i) no reaction was observed in the absence of either benzoic acid or Et_3N ; (ii) in the case of styrene, the iodo compound II was isolated (20% yield after 2 h) and characterized completely (GC-MS, ^{1}H and ^{13}C NMR) which eliminates the role of hypervalent iodine in the mechanism ; (iii) further treatment of II with DMSO and Et_3N afforded the desired product 2a in 62% yield; (iv) Table 1 (entries 2 and 8) suggests that TBHP can be used as a co-oxidant.

According to the aforementioned information and based o[n](#page-1-0) previous reports, a proposed mechanism for this I_2 -catalyzed oxidative functionalization of alkenes is outlined in Scheme 3. Initially, the substrate alkene reacts with I_2 to afford the iodonium ion intermediate I, which undergoes regioselective ring opening Scheme 3. Probable Catalytic Cycle for Oxo- and Hydroxyacyloxylation of Alkenes

with benzoic acid giving the iodo compound II. The proposed key intermediate species $\text{III}, ^{14c}$ formed from II by the anchimeric assistance shown by the benzoate group, reacts with DMSO in a regioselective manner to giv[e hy](#page-3-0)droxy ylide IV with the liberation of an iodide ion. The iodide ion is then reoxidized with TBHP to regenerate I₂ while elimination ($-Me₂S$) from IV could then be undertaken to provide the desired products 2a−z, which on borane reduction gave diol derivatives 3a−n.

Its application is illustrated in the total synthesis of $(+)$ -Tanikolide $7²⁰$ a brine-shrimp toxin and antifungal marine metabolite. Thus, α -benzoyloxyketone 2l, obtained from 1tridecene by the [pre](#page-3-0)sent protocol, was subjected to asymmetric Keck allylation to give homoallylic alcohol 5 (81% yield; 81% ee). Compound 5 was then subjected to cross-metathesis with methyl acrylate using Grubbs' second generation catalyst to give unsaturated ester 6 in 88% yields, which was finally reduced and hydrolyzed to afford (+)-Tanikolide in 85% yield (Scheme 4).

In summary, we have demonstrated that the oxo- and hydroxyacyloxylation process of alkenes and enol ethers with carboxylic acids can be achieved using metal-free catalytic systems. This operationally simple and efficient method provides a new approach toward the synthesis of α -acyloxyketones, esters (2a− z), and diol derivatives (3a−n) with a broad substrate scope. We believe that the macrolactonization directly from unactivated carboxylic acid would serve as a competent method and find tremendous applications in the synthesis of widely occurring macrolides. More importantly, this inexpensive catalyst/oxidant system provides for a single-step, metal-free dihydroxylation process directly from alkenes, thereby complimenting $OsO₄$ catalyzed dihydroxylation of alkenes.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

Experimental procedures, product characterization, copies of NMR spectra for 2a−z, 3a−n, 4−7. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: a.sudalai@ncl.res.in.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

R.B.R. and P.K.P. thank CSIR, New Delhi for the award of research fellowships and DST (No. SR/S1/OC-67/2010) New Delhi for financial support. The authors also thank Dr. V. V. Ranade, Chair, Chem. Eng. & Process Develop. for his encouragement.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Shun-Ichi, M.; Takao, S.; Hidenori, H.; Yoshihide, M.; Takeshi, N.; Hidenori, K.; Susumu, A. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 2929. (b) Fenselau, C. In Steroid Reactions; Djerassi, C., Ed.; Holden-Day: San Francisco, 1963; pp 537−591. (c) Green, T. W.; Wuts, P. G. M. Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis; Wiley: New York, 1991; pp 87−104. (d) Kocienski, P. J.; Protecting Groups; Thieme: Stuttgart, 1994; pp 22−29. (e) Hiroyuki, A.; Yuko, E.; Harutami, Y.; Takeshi, O. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1989, 10, 2876.

(2) (a) Ley, J. P.; Heinz-Jurgen, B. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 1277. (b) Perarman, J. W.; Peterson, G. J.; Nash, J. B. Invest. Urol. 1978, 91. (c) Herold, B. C.; Scordi, I. B.; Cheshenko, N.; Marcello, D.; Zuzelewski, M. D.; Franwis, F.; Morin, R.; Mas, V. C.; Anderson, R. A.; Chany, C., II; Waller, D. P.; Zaneveld, L. J. D.; Koltman, M. E. J. Virology 2002, 11236. (d) Jean-Philippe, S.; Jean-Michel, V. Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 13011. (e) Zaneveld, L. J.; Anderson, R. A.; Diao, X. H.; Waller, D. P.; Chan, Y. C.; Feathergill, K.; Doncel, G.; Cooper, M. D.; Herold, B. FertilSteril 2002, 78, 1107. (f) Ting, S. U.; Yanghond, W. U.; Brandon, D.; Kim, K. M.; Marlowe, C. K.; Kanter, J. P.; Frey, J. W.; Huang, B.; Wong, P.; Sinha, U.; Park, G.; Malinowski, J.; Hollenbach, S.; Scarborough, R. M.; Zhu, B. Y. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2011, 11, 2279.

(3) (a) Levine, P. A.; Walti, A. Org. Synth. Coll. Vol. II 1943, 5. (b) Shinada, T.; Kawakami, T.; Sakai, H.; Takada, I.; Ohfune, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 3757.

(4) Selected examples: (a) Cocker, J. D.; Henbest, H. B.; Phillipps, G. H.; Slater, G. P.; Thomas, D. A. J. Chem. Soc. 1965, 6. (b) Lee, J. C.; Jin, Y. S.; Choi, J.-H. Chem. Commun. 2001, 956 and references therein.

(5) (a) Devanne, D.; Ruppin, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 925. (b) Cadierno, V.; Francos, J.; Gimeno, J. Organometallics 2011, 30,

852. (c) Cadierno, V.; Francos, J.; Gimeno, J.Green Chem. 2010, 12, 135. (d) Costin, S.; Rath, N. P.; Bauer, E. B. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350,

2414. (6) (a) Beshara, C. S.; Hall, A.; Jenkins, R. L.; Jones, K. L.; Jones, T. C.;

Killeen, N. M.; Taylor, P. H.; Thomas, S. P.; Tomkinson, N. C. O. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5729. (b) Smithen, D. A.; Mathews, C. J.; Tomkinson, N. C. O. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 3756.

(7) (a) Kano, T.; Mii, H.; Maruoka, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3450. (b) Vaismaa, M. J. P.; Yau, S. C.; Tomkinson, N. C. O. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 3625. (c) Gotoh, H.; Hayashi, Y. Chem. Commun. 2009, 3083.

(8) (a) Ochiai, M.; Takeuchi, Y.; Katayama, T.; Sueda, T.; Miyamoto, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12244. (b) Dohi, T.; Maruyama, A.; Yoshimura, M.; Morimoto, K.; Tohma, H.; Kita, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 6193. (c) Sheng, J.; Li, X.; Tang, M.; Gao, B.; Huang, G. Synthesis 2007, 1165. (d) Ochiai, M.; Miyamoto, K. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 4229. (e) Huang, J.; Li, L.; Li, H.; Husan, E.; Wang, P.; Wang, B. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 10204. (f) Uyanik, M.; Ishihara, K. ChemCatChem 2012, 4, 177.

(9) (a) Uyanik, M.; Yasui, T.; Ishihara, K. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2009, 19, 3848. (b) Moriarty, R. M.; Vaid, R. K.; Hopkins, T. E.; Vaid, B. K.; Prakash, O. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 201.

(10) Uyanik, M.; Suzuki, D.; Yasui, T.; Ishihara, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 5331.

(11) (a) Songjin, G.; Jin-Tao, Y.; Qiang, D.; Haitao, Y.; Jiang, C. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 6240. (b) Nagano, T.; Jia, Z.; Li, X.; Yan, M.; Lu, G.; Chan, A. S. C.; Hayashi, T. Chem. Lett. 2010, 39, 929.

(12) (a) Rubottom, G. M.; Marrero, R.; Gruber, J. M. Tetrahedron 1983, 39, 861. (b) Wang, P.; Tao, W.; Sun, X.; Liao, S.; Tang, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 16849. (c) Gathergood, N.; Zhuang, W.; Jørgensen, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 12517.

(13) Selected examples for metal catalysis: (a) Kolb, H. C.; Van Nieuwenhze, M. S.; Sharpless, K. B. Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 2483. (b) Plietker, B.; Neisius, N. M. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 3218. (c) Chow, T. W.-S.; Liu, Y.; Che, C.-M. Chem. Commun. 2011, 11204. (d) Oldenburg, P. D.; Feng, Y.; Pryjomska-Ray, I.; Ness, D.; Que, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 17713. (e) Zhu, M.-K.; Zhao, J.-F.; Loh, T.-P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 6284.

(14) (a) Zhong, W.; Liu, S.; Yang, J.; Meng, X.; Li, Z. Org. Lett. 2012, 13, 3336. (b) Schwarz, M.; Reiser, O. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 10495. (c) Emmanuvel, L.; Ali Shaikh, T. M.; Sudalai, A. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5071. (d) Griffith, J. C.; Jones, K. M.; Picon, S.; Rawling, M. J.; Kariuki, B. M.; Campbell, M.; Tomkinson, N. C. O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 14409. (e) Yuan, C.; Axelrod, A.; Varela, M.; Danysh, L.; Siegel, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 2540. (f) Jones, K. M.; Tomkinson, N. C. O. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 921.

(15) (a) Lee, J. I.; Park, S. J. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2000, 21, 141. (b) Yamada, S. J. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 1591. (c) Kim, S.; Chang, H.; Kim, W. J. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 1751. (d) Caddick, S.; McCarroll, A. J.; Sandham, D. A. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 6305.

(16) Xue, Q.; Xie, J.; Xu, P.; Hu, K.; Cheng, Y.; Zhu, C. ACS Catal. 2013, 3, 1365.

(17) (a) Finkbeiner, P.; Nachtsheim, B. J. Synthesis 2013, 979. (b) Kirihara, M.; Asai, Y.; Ogawa, S.; Noguchi, T.; Hatano, A.; Hirai, Y. Synthesis 2007, 3286.

(18) (a) Reddi, R. N.; Malekar, P. V.; Sudalai, A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 6477. For α -hydroxyketones from olefins, see: (b) Plietker, B. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 8287. (c) Wu, C.; Liang, Z.; Yan, D.; He, W.; Xiang, J. Synthesis 2013, 45, 2605. (d) Zhang, Y.; Shen, Z.; Tang, J.; Zhang, Y.; Kong, L.; Zhang, Y. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 1478. (e) Plietker, B. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 1919.

(19) (a) Kang, Y.; Gade, L. H. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 1610. (b) Stang, E. M.; White, M. C. Nat. Chem. 2009, 1, 547.

(20) Xie, Y.; Sun, M.; Zhou, H.; Cao, Q.; Gao, K.; Niu, C.; Yang, H. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 10251 and references cited therein.